



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/655,917	09/06/2000	AKIRA OHMURA	107013	8659
25944 75	590 11/30/2004		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928		TILLERY, RA	ASHAWN N	
ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2612	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/655,917	OHMURA, AKIRA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rashawn N Tillery	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	_	vith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	September 2004.					
,	<u>-</u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
	onnliagtion					
 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-13 and 19</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-13 and 19</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	ian priority under 25 H.S.C.	8 110(a) (d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
a)						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p						
application from the International Bure	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l		t received.				
	•					
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🖂 Intensions	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 9, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Applicant's arguments concerning the Chapman et al reference, the examiner acknowledges that Chapman does not expressly disclose embedding a watermark within an image of a digital camera. Chapman teaches embedding watermarks, selected from among a plurality of watermarks, in individual frames of video image data (see figure 4; also see col. 8, lines 37-65). Bhaskaran teaches embedding a watermark within an image from a camera.

Applicant is reminded that one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Art Unit: 2612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chapman et al (US6216228) in view of Bhaskaran et al (US6064764).

Chapman teaches a system for rating video programs for all television broadcasters. In one embodiment of the invention a plurality of watermarking algorithms are stored in a memory. The algorithms generate different patterns of a watermark for each classification code (rating). Each still image of the video program has a watermarked classification code embedded within it. See col. 6, lines 20-57; col. 7, lines 30-54; col. 8, lines 37-65; and col. 10, lines 49-67.

Chapman discloses that the signal source could be a video camera or any video or image recording device. Chapman does not expressly disclose a digital camera.

Bhaskaran teaches a method for watermarking images of a digital camera.

Bhaskaran reveals that it is well known in the art to perform watermarking techniques in the digital camera and transmits those images to external devices such as a VCR, computer or television receiver. See col. 8, lines 6-65.

Art Unit: 2612

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Bhaskaran's teachings in an effort to prevent unauthorized users from altering image data.

Conclusion

- 1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shimizu et al teach a digital camera for watermarking images.
- 2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rashawn N Tillery whose telephone number is 703-305-0627. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-6:30PM.

Art Unit: 2612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on 703-305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RNT

WENDY R. GARBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 5